EXP1803 - Developing #185

Upgrade of the decayer: target of an arbitrary shape.

04/17/2018 09:15 PM - Sergey Belogurov

Status:	Закрыта	Start date:	05/12/2018	
Priority:	Высокий	Due date:		
Assignee:	Mikhail Kozlov	% Done:	100%	
Category:	Software	Estimated time:	0.00 hour	
Target version:				

Description

The shape of the target is not always planar. The target can be rotated around an axis (e.g vertical one) in order to face a telescope thus reducing the amount of material crossed by a reaction product. It is necessary to upgrade the algorithm which defines the interaction point inside the target.

In the picture below

The target is black, its boundingbox is grey, the beam axis is lilac, the diagonal of the boundingbox is green, the path of an ion is orange. The path inside the target is thick orange, it has the length I. X- is the interaction point. X0 and x0+I - the points where the trajectory of an ion crosses the target boundary.

The interaction probability should depend on I. Convoluted with the beam profile and angular distribution it will give the correct distribution of the interaction poins in space. If the nuclear interaction length is Lambda. The probability of the interaction can be normalized to its maxilum possible value i.e. (1-exp(-A/Lambda))

It is necessary to study how Vitaly uses the ROOT geometry navigation in reconstruction and generalize the definition of the interaction point for the complex (but convex) shape of the target. The case of thin target when the distribution of the interaction points along I is uniform, can be implemented first.

target.png

Comments and questions are welcome!

Subtasks:

Developing # 192: Тестирование распада в мишени произвольной формы

Закрыта

History

#1 - 04/17/2018 09:17 PM - Sergey Belogurov

- Description updated

#2 - 04/17/2018 09:18 PM - Sergey Belogurov

- File deleted (target.png)

#3 - 04/17/2018 09:19 PM - Sergey Belogurov

- Description updated

#4 - 04/18/2018 05:57 PM - Mikhail Kozlov

I've three questions:

1) Is it true that the nuclear interaction length is https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Nuclear_interaction_length ?

2) Is Lambda the parameter that defined by user through interface or we recieve it from the GEANT methods?

3) What is the probability of interaction: parameter that we've not used yet? How to normilize it with respect to (1-exp(-A/Lambda)?

#5 - 04/18/2018 07:57 PM - Sergey Belogurov

- Description updated

1) Roughly speaking -yes 2) Lambda is the parameter defined by user through interface 3) In reality many ions pass throug the target without nuclear interactions. For planar targets perpendicular to the ion beam, the probability of the nuclear interaction is qual for all the ions. For this reason we normally neglected the ions passed throug and assumed that each incident nucleus interacts, we only define the position of the interaction, However in the case of complex shape of the target the interaction probability depends on the thickness of the target material crossed by the ion. The maximum possible interaction probability is (repetuted) to were interactive patients (repetuted) (

Is it getting more clear?

#6 - 07/10/2018 09:04 AM - Vitaliy Schetinin

Статус по этой задаче?

#7 - 07/11/2018 07:31 AM - Vitaliy Schetinin

Ветку влил - задачу закрыл

#8 - 07/12/2018 11:24 AM - Mikhail Kozlov

- Status changed from Открыта to Закрыта